

8.

12. Did the group of 808 persons excluded from recognition of refugee status include persons under 18 years of age?

13. In the decision ordering the deportation of 808 migrants from Puerto Waira, did the authorities take account of possible family separation and the best interests of the children involved?

19. Would extortion be considered a serious non-political crime under Arcadian law?

20. Is detention for merely having a criminal record permitted under Arcadian law?

35. What steps did Arcadia take to ensure that Tlaxcochitlán would not return the 808 deportees to Puerto Waira? In the context of these steps, is there a history of returning migrants between Arcadia and Tlaxcochitlán, similar to the situation mentioned in paragraph 27 of the hypothetical case?

36. What are the legal criteria for classifying as “very serious” the non-political offenses covered by section 40 of the Law on Refugees and Complementary Protection of Arcadia, and what is the rationale in this specific case for linking such offenses to Arcadia’s national security? If there are any regulatory provisions defining them, please describe their content as was done for paragraphs 11, 12, and 13 of the case.

37. What recommendations did the IACHR make to the State of Arcadia?

38. Can we assume that all persons with criminal records who were deported from Arcadia had already been convicted in their home countries? If not, what are the reasons for this?

43. How many people from Puerto Waira were recognized as refugees in Arcadia between 2012 and 2015?

51. With reference to paragraph 27, what are the details of the agreement between Arcadia and the United States of Tlaxcochitlan in particular whether the agreement contained provisions on whether those deported to Tlaxcochitlan could in turn be deported to Puerto Waira.

52. Paragraph 27 of the facts of the case states that the Ministers of Foreign Affairs and the Interior of Arcadia and the United States of Tlaxcochitlan signed an agreement. What were the (specific) obligations assumed by each of the parties with regard to the movement of the Wairans to United States territory, as well as the international protection that should be afforded to them?

53. According to paragraph 15 of the facts, “about 7000 people”

59. What are serious crimes in terms of section 40 of the Law on Refugees and Complementary Protection?
60. Was Gonzalo Belano part of the first or second group deported to Tlaxcochitlan?
61. With respect to the 217 persons mentioned in paragraph 28: Did they use or could they have used any form of State legal assistance?
62. With respect to the 217 persons mentioned in paragraph 28: Is there any effective remedy that they could have used to challenge the denial of the motion for reconsideration?
63. With respect to the 808 returnees: Did Arcadia warn the authorities in Puerto Waira of the risk they were exposed to upon returning to their country of origin, and/or did it take any action to guarantee and/or safeguard the rights of these individuals?
64. Were there children among the extradited Wairans? If so, what specific legal assistance was provided to them while in custody?
65. As a sovereign State, is Puerto Waira a member of the Organization of American States, and has it accepted the jurisdiction of the Inter-American Court of Human Rights? If so, when was the ratification instrument deposited with the General Secretariat?
66. Did Puerto Waira sign any deportation treaty with the United States of Tlaxcochitlán?

